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Abstract—EKEKO is a Clojure library for applicative logic meta-programming against an Eclipse workspace. EKEKO has been applied successfully to answering program queries (e.g., “does this bug pattern occur in my code?”), to analyzing project corpora (e.g., “how often does this API usage pattern occur in this corpus?”), and to transforming programs (e.g., “change occurrences of this pattern as follows”) in a declarative manner. These applications rely on a seamless embedding of logic queries in applicative expressions. While the former identify source code of interest, the latter associate error markers with, compute statistics about, or rewrite the identified source code snippets. In this paper, we detail the logic and applicative aspects of the EKEKO library. We also highlight key choices in their implementation. In particular, we demonstrate how a causal connection with the Eclipse infrastructure enables building development tools interactively on the Clojure read-eval-print loop.

I. INTRODUCTION

EKEKO is a Clojure library that enables querying and manipulating an Eclipse workspace using logic queries that are seamlessly embedded in functional expressions. Recent applications of EKEKO include the GASR tool for detecting suspicious aspect-oriented code [1] and the QWALKEKO tool for reasoning about fine-grained evolutions of versioned code [2]. In this paper, we describe the meta-programming facilities offered by EKEKO and highlight key choices in their implementation. We also draw attention to the highly interactive manner of tool building these facilities enable.

II. RUNNING EXAMPLE: AN ECLIPSE PLUGIN

More concretely, we will demonstrate how to build a lightweight Eclipse plugin entirely on the Clojure read-eval-print loop. We will use this plugin as a running example throughout the rest of this paper. Our Eclipse plugin is to support developers in repeating similar changes throughout an entire class hierarchy. It is to associate problem markers with fields that have not yet been changed. In addition, it is to present developers a visualization of these problems. Finally, it is to provide a “quick fix” that applies the required changes correctly.

Figure 1 illustrates the particular changes that need to be repeated. The raw EntityIdentifier type of those fields within a subclass of be.ac.chaq.model.ast.java.ASTNode

public class BreakStatement extends Statement {
  // Before changes:
  @EntityProperty(value = SimpleName.class)
  private EntityIdentifier label;

  // After changes:
  @EntityProperty(value = SimpleName.class)
  private EntityIdentifier<SimpleName> label;
  // ... (a.o., accessor methods change accordingly)
}

Fig. 1: Example changes to be repeated.

that carry an @EntityProperty annotation, is to receive a type parameter that corresponds to the annotation’s value key.

III. ARCHITECTURAL OVERVIEW

The EKEKO library operates upon a central repository of project models. These models contain structural and behavioral information that is not readily available from the projects themselves. The models for Java projects include abstract syntax trees provided by the Eclipse JDT parser, but also control flow and data flow information computed by the SOOT program analysis framework [3].

An accompanying Eclipse plugin automatically maintains the EKEKO model repository. To this end, it subscribes to each workspace change and triggers incremental updates or complete rebuilds of project models. As a result, the information operated upon by the EKEKO library is always up-to-date. In addition, this plugin provides an extension point that enables registering additional kinds of project models. The KEKO extension, for instance, builds its project model from the results of a partial program analysis [4]—enabling queries over compilation units that do not build correctly.

IV. LOGIC PROGRAM QUERYING

The EKEKO library enables querying and manipulating programs using logic queries and applicative expressions respectively. We detail the former first. Section V discusses the latter. The program querying facilities relieve tool builders from implementing an imperative search for source code that exhibits particular characteristics. Instead, developers can specify these characteristics declaratively through a logic query. The
The four solutions to the above query consist of bindings for its variables such that all logic goals succeed. Internally, the logic engine performs an exploration of all possible results, using backtracking to yield the different bindings for logic variables. The four solutions to the above query consist of bindings such that \( ?x \) is an element of vector \([1 2]\) and \( ?y \) is an element of vector \([3 4]\): \(([1 3] [1 4] [2 3] [2 4])\).

Logic variables have to be introduced explicitly into each lexical scope. Above, the `ekeko` special form introduced two variables into the scope of its logic conditions. Additional variables can be introduced through the `fresh` special form:

```clojure
```

Binary predicate `contains/2`, used in both goals, holds if its first argument is a collection that contains the second argument. Solutions to a query consist of the different bindings for its variables such that all logic goals succeed. Internally, the logic engine performs an exploration of all possible results, using backtracking to yield the different bindings for logic variables. The four solutions to the above query consist of bindings such that \( ?x \) is an element of vector \([1 2]\) and \( ?y \) is an element of vector \([3 4]\): \(([1 3] [1 4] [2 3] [2 4])\).

Logic variables have to be introduced explicitly into each lexical scope. Above, the `ekeko` special form introduced two variables into the scope of its logic conditions. Additional variables can be introduced through the `fresh` special form:

```clojure
```

The above query has but one solution: \([3]\). Indeed, 3 is the only binding for \( ?x \) such that all goals succeed. The `differs/2` goal on line 2 imposes a disequality constraint such that any binding for \( ?x \) has to differ from 4. The `equals/2` goal on line 4 requires \( ?x \) and the newly introduced \( ?y \) to unify.

### B. Ekeko Predicates for Program Querying

EKEKO provides a library of predicates that can be used to query programs. These predicates reify the basic structural, control flow and data flow relations maintained by our Eclipse repository (cf. Section III) as well as higher-level relations that are derived from the basic ones.

We limit our discussion to those predicates that reify structural relations computed from the Eclipse JDT. Binary predicate `(ast ?kind ?node)`, for instance, reifies the relation of all AST nodes of a particular type. Here, `?kind` is a Clojure keyword denoting the capitalized, unqualified name of `?node`'s class. Solutions to the query `(ekeko [?inv] (ast :MethodInvocation ?inv))` therefore comprise all method invocations in the source code.

Ternary predicate `(has ?typename ?node ?value)` reifies the relation between an AST node and the value of one of its properties. Here, `?typename` is a Clojure keyword denoting the decapitalized name of the property's `org.eclipse.jdt.core.dom.PropertyDescriptor` (e.g., `modifiers`). In general, `?value` is either another `ASTNode` or a wrapper for primitive values and collections. This wrapper ensures the relationality of the predicate. The following query will therefore retrieve nodes that have null as the value for their `:expression` property (e.g., receiver-less invocations):

```clojure
(ekeko [?node] (fresh [?exp] (nullvalue ?exp) (has :expression ?node ?exp)))
```

Finally, the `child/3` predicate reifies the relation between an AST node and one of its immediate AST node children. Solutions to the following query therefore consist of pairs of a method invocation and one of its arguments:

```clojure
```

Conceptually, the implementation of these lower-level predicates use the aforementioned `contains/2` over an AST node relation maintained by each EKEKO project model (cf. Section III). Our implementation of the higher-level predicates illustrates that CORE.LOGIC (cf. Section IV-A) embeds logic programming within a functional language. Binary predicate `child+/2`, for instance, is implemented as a regular Clojure function that returns a logic goal:

```clojure
(defn child+ [?node ?child]
```

Here, special form `conde` returns a goal that is the disjunction of one or more goals. Predicate `child+/2` therefore reifies the transitive closure of the `child/2` relation.

Next to facilitating the use of query results in tools and preventing queries from returning stale results that no longer reflect the current state of the workspace, our identity-based reification of AST nodes (cf. Section IV) brings along reification of the current state of the workspace, our identity-based reification of AST nodes (cf. Section IV) also brings along some practical implementation advantages. Many predicates call out to Java whenever this is more convenient than a purely declarative implementation:

```clojure
```

Here, the last line ensures that `?parent` unifies with the result of invoking method `ASTNode.getParent()` on the binding for `?parent`. The before-last line ensures that the predicate fails for `CompilationUnit` instances which function as AST roots.

### C. Running Example Revisited: Detecting Change Subjects

The plugin that comprises our running example (cf. Section II) needs to identify fields that carry an `@EntityProperty`
annotation of which the declared type is missing the corresponding type parameter. We will do so using a program query that uses a combination of built-in and case-specific predicates. Although we only depict their final definition, we developed these predicates one condition at a time — the read-eval-print loop facilitates exploring the impact of additional conditions on the query’s solutions.

Predicate **fielddeclaration|incorrect/1** refines the relation of field declarations in our hierarchy that miss the type parameter corresponding to their annotation (i.e., the future subjects of our plugin’s quick fix transformation). The final line excludes correctly typed field declarations from the parameterized types of which a type argument refers, in one of its positions, to another type declaration as a fully qualified or simple type. To this end, these predicates call out to Java to consult the name and type analysis provided by the JDT. Finally, the actual type of which the declared type is missing the corresponding type parameter. We will do so using a program query in one and in two logic variables — the read-eval-print loop facilitates exploring the impact of additional conditions on the query’s solutions.

Predicate **type-annotation|correct/2** reifies the relation reified by type-annotation|correct/1

```clojure
(defn fielddeclaration|incorrect 
  [{?fielddecl :fieldtype :anno}]
  (annotation-fielddeclaration ?anno ?fielddecl)
  (ast-typedecoration|encompassing ?fielddecl ?typedecl)
  (typedeclaration|inhierarchy ?typedecl)
  (has :type ?fielddecl ?fieldtype)
  (fails (type-annotation|correct ?typedeclaration ?anno))))
```

The final line excludes correctly typed field declarations through conventional negation-as-failure. Here, elements of the relation reified by type-annotation|correct/2 are obtained from the parameterized types of which a type argument denotes the same type as the type literal in the annotation:

```clojure
(defn type-annotation|correct 
  [{?typedecl :type :anno}]
  (fresh ?totype ?anno)
  (annotation-fielddeclaration ?anno ?fielddecl)
  (ast-typedecoration|encompassing ?fielddecl ?typedecl)
  (typedeclaration|inhierarchy ?typedecl)
  (has :type ?fielddecl ?fieldtype)
  (fails (type-annotation|correct ?typedeclaration ?anno))))
```

Note that predicates `ast|typeliteral-type` and `ast|type-type` both resolve their second argument `?annotype` to the same type, regardless of whether the type literal in the annotation and the type parameter of the parameterized type refer to `?annotype` as a fully qualified or simple type. To this end, these predicates call out to Java to consult the name and type analysis provided by the JDT. Finally, the actual type literal in the annotation is obtained as follows:

```clojure
(defn annotation|ep-typeliteral 
  [{?anno :typeliteral :anno}]
  (fresh ?anno)
  (annotation-membername-value ?anno ?mn ?typel)
  (has :identifier ?mn "value"*))
```

V. **Applicative Program Manipulation**

Having discussed the logic meta-programming facilities of the EKEKO library, we shift our focus to the applicable ones.

A. **Ekeko Functions for Scripting Query Launches**

The **ekeko** variant of the **ekeko** special form (cf. Section 4.2-A) does not only launch a logic query from the read-eval-print loop, but also opens a graphical inspector on its results that stems from our earlier Eclipse plugin suite for program querying. Among others, it presents query evaluation times and supports highlighting locations in the source code that correspond to a query result. The top-left corner of Figure 2 depicts the inspector on the results of the query launched from the REPL in the bottom. This REPL-inspector combination supports tool builders in refining their program queries and case-specific predicates incrementally.

To support corpus mining activities, **EKEKO** provides a library of functions for scripting the evaluation of queries and processing their results. For instance, function `(ekeko-reduce-projects! f initial projects)` reduces a collection of workspace projects using the given function and initial value (i.e., a left fold over the projects). As the idea is that `f` launches a program query to extract interesting program facts, we have **EKEKO** populate (and destroy) a project model for each project. We coordinate with the Eclipse build infrastructure to ensure each model is fully populated before `f` is applied. The same infrastructure enables us to provide support for registering Clojure expressions that are to be evaluated upon workspace changes. For instance, to continuously associate and disassociate problem markers with the results of a program query.

Finally, **EKEKO** provides a lightweight functional interface to the ZEST Eclipse Visualization Toolkit. This interface enables tool builders to visualize the results of a query on the REPL. To draw a directed graph, for instance, function `(ekeko-visualize labelprovider typedeclaration)` can be invoked with two collections of tuples. The first collection of 1-tuples determines the nodes of the graph. The second collection of 2-tuples determines the edges of the graph. Note that these collections can be obtained through a program query in one and in two logic variables respectively. Additional keyword arguments can be provided to override the default styling for the graph.

B. **Running Example Revisited: Visualizing Change Subjects**

Figure 3 depicts a directed graph of which the nodes correspond to type declarations that declare a be.ac.chaq.model.ast.java.Expression subtype. An edge from a type declaration `d1` to another type declaration `d2` denotes that `d1` refers, in one of its `@EntityProperty` annotations, to the type declared by `d2`. The graph is produced by the following Clojure `let-expression`:

```clojure
(let [typedeclaration (superposition-declaration ?root)
  (typedeclaration-name qualified-string
    ?root "be.ac.chaq.model.ast.java.Expression")
  (conde [equals ?typedeclaration ?root]
    [((typedeclaration-typedeclaration superposition-declaration ?root))])]
  (ekeko-visualize
    [edge|style
      (fn [src dest] edge|directed)
      (node|image
        (fn [typedeclaration]
          (.getImage labelprovider typedeclaration)))]
    [typedeclaration (superposition-declaration ?root)
      (anno ?annotypelit ?annotype)
      (annotation|ep-typeliteral ?annotypelit ?annotype)
      (typeliteral-type ?annotypelit ?annotype)
      (typedecl-annotation-negative ?root)]
    [edge|style
      (fn [src dest] edge|directed)
      (node|image
        (fn [typedeclaration]
          (.getImage labelprovider typedeclaration)))]
    labelprovider to an instance of the default EKEKO label provider. This instance is used
```

The `let-expression` binds `labelprovider` to an instance of the default EKEKO label provider. This instance is used
by the last :node:image (keyword) argument to compute an image for each node. The actual nodes are computed through the program query that serves as the first (regular) argument to the ekeko-visualize function. The query binds ?typedeclaration to a type declaration that either declares the expression type itself or one of its subtypes. The edges for the graph are computed by second program query.

C. Ekeko Functions for Transforming Code

Clojure’s interoperability with Java enables us to provide a functional layer on top of the org.eclipse.jdt.core.dom.rewrite.ASTRewrite API. A rewrite groups a series of delayed code changes to a single compilation unit. Delaying these changes enables development tools to simulate their eventual effect. For instance, to have end-users select the most appropriate code transformation from several possible ones.

EKEKO provides functions to remove (remove-node), insert (add-node) and replace (replace-node) nodes. Values of their properties can be changed as well (change-property-node). Here, properties are denoted using the Clojure keywords from the ?has/3 predicate (cf. Section IV-B). Implementation-wise, these functions extend the current rewrite for the compilation unit in which the node resides or create a new one if none is present. After all changes have been recorded, they can be applied by calling apply-and-reset-rewrites.

D. Running Example Revisited: Markers and Quick-fix

To facilitate marking problematic nodes in the editor, EKEKO provides a generic Eclipse resource marker that can be added to a specific AST node by calling add-problem-marker. For our running example, we map this function on the REPL over each AST node retrieved by the program query (ekeko [fast] (field-declaration?incorrect fast). The result is depicted in Figure 2, a collection of resource markers.

Note that each marker keeps track of the AST node it decorates. This allows us to implement the quick fix as a function that takes a marker as its argument:

```clojure
(defn marker-quick-fix [marker]
  (let [fielddecl (ekemarker-astnode marker)
        cu (get-cu fielddecl)
        ftype (node-property fielddecl :type)
        atype (annotation-type literal anno)
        atype-copy (copy-subtree ftype)
        atype-copy (copy-subtree anno-type)
        node (create-parameterized-type type copy)]
    (add-node cu node typeArguments atype-copy 0)
    (change-property-node fielddecl :type node)
    (apply-and-reset-rewrites)
    (remove-marker marker)))
```

We need to replace the type of the annotated field declaration by a ParameterizedType that corresponds to the type in the annotation. Using function copy-subtree, we copy both the field’s and the annotation’s type nodes. We create a new ParameterizedType node new-node from the first copy and add the second copy by calling add-node. We specify under which property the node needs to be inserted, its index in the collection of type arguments and the compilation unit of the original node. We then replace the original type in the field declaration with the new node using change-property-node. Finally, we apply the rewrite and remove the marker.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have presented EKEKO as a Clojure library that enables querying and manipulating an Eclipse workspace using logic queries and applicative expressions respectively. Our implementation exploits a seamless embedding of logic programming in Clojure. We have demonstrated how EKEKO can be used from the Clojure read-eval-print loop to prototype a lightweight Eclipse plugin in a highly interactive manner.

Building such plugins using EKEKO does require a basic understanding of functional and logic programming. The same goes for the Eclipse JDT with which our libraries interface. However, combining the Clojure REPL with the EKEKO result browser enables specifying queries gradually (i.e., condition by condition). Moreover, extensive documentation and code completion further mitigates these drawbacks.

Conceptually, EKEKO’s performance is dominated by the complexity of the program query and the size of the queried program. However, our implementation relies on the project models to cache information that is expensive to compute. Moreover, most predicates have node annotations that specialize the implementation depending on which arguments are bound. Results for the queries in this paper are instantaneous.
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Fig. 2: The Clojure REPL (bottom), the EKEKO query result inspector (top-left), and the final plugin (top-right) in action.

Fig. 3: An EKEKO-computed visualization of our running example. A directed graph is shown of which the nodes correspond to Expression subtypes. Edges correspond to a type referring to another type in one of its @EntityProperty-annotated fields.