

# Software variations by means of first-class change objects

Peter Ebraert **Leonel Merino** Theo D'Hondt pebraert@vub.ac.be **leonelmerino@gmail.com** tjdhondt@vub.ac.be

## Agenda

- Software Variability
- Requirements
- Related work
- Discussion
- Our FOP model
- Demo
- □ Future work
- Conclusions

## Software Variability

- □ FOText is a word processor that requires to be improved with a functionality to compress the
- files it produce.

two variations:

FOText viewerFOText full

Sometimes the modifications that are introduce by a feature impact in entities specified by other features. Just like a feature that implements a crosscutting concern. This leads in dependencies between features. A composition between features is valid only when all dependent features are in the composition. Since a feature-oriented approach allows to produce variations by composing different sets of features, to implement this kind of features is an issue. One unpleasant way to solve this, is by creating a specific version of the feature for combining it with a specific set of other features and thus produce a valid composed software. This leads into many version of the same feature that require to be maintained. We would like to be provided with File > is to write the feature once and the New technique would be able to deploy the feature in a specific Edit 🕨 feature that are participating in the Open Print 🕨 composition. Allowing the reuse of the feature. Sometimes Save are introduce by a feature impact Help 🕨 Save as in entities specified by other features. Just like a feature the cutting concern. This leads in Quit dependencies between features. A composition between features is en all dependent features are in the composition. Since a feature-oriented approach allows to produce variations by composing different sets of features, to implement this kind of features is an issue. One unpleasant way to solve this, is by creating a specific version of the feature for combining it with a specific set of other features and thus produce a valid composed software. This leads into many version of the same feature that require to be maintained. We would like to be provided with a technique that allows to write the feature once and the technique would be able to deploy the feature in a specific way depending on the feature that are participating in the composition. Allowing the reuse of the feature.

## Approach

Ad-hoc: add the code needed directly into the application.

Solution tightly coupled



Feature-oriented programming: create a feature that adds this functionality to the FOText base program.

Features can be reused

## FOP requirements

We identify the following requirements:

The compress feature requires adding new statements and deleting existing ones in the open and save functionalities.

Although we have two variations of FOText we would like to create the *compress feature* just once and **reuse** it.

We pursue an approach that fulfills these criteria



\* D. Batory, J. Sarvela, and A. Rauschmayer. Scaling stepwise refinement, 2003.

# Related work Lifting functions \*

This model allows flexible composition of objects from a set of features.



Lifting functions resolve the interactions between features.

F\_1,F\_2F\_1,F\_3 F\_2,F\_3 F\_2,F\_4 F1\_F\_4



\* Christian Prehofer. Feature-Oriented Programming: A Fresh Look at Objects. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 1241:419{434, 1997.

## Discussion

	AHEAD	Lifting Functions	FeatureC++	Mixin-layers
Operations allowed	Addition and modification *	Addition	Additions and modifications	Additions and modifications
Granularity	Method, field and statement *	Statement	Method, field and statement *	Statement
Feature reuse	No	No	No	No
Dependency management	Implicit	Implicit	Implicit	Implicit

#### A change based solution for FOP

- Change: any operation produced by the programmer in the code.
- □ Feature as a set of first-class change objects.
- Changes are captured from the IDE using the ChEOPS tool.
- Explicitly stored dependencies between changes
- We aim at feature reuse



#### Demo

#### Future work

- Develop a characterization for flexible features
- Not only allowing addition and deletion but modifications would decrease the number of changes.
- In ChEOPS the changes cannot be exported or applied\*

## Conclusion

Programming languages do not provide enough tools to do FOP.

□ We propose:

- A conceptual model where features are described by changes and dependencies are explicit. Moreover, we introduce flexible features.
- Tool support to compose features.

### Thanks !

#### Software variations by means of firstclass change objects

#### leonelmerino @ gmail.com